



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/599,129 | 09/20/2006 | Robert Dean Dally | X17115 | 4638 |
| 25885 7590 04/29/2009 ELI LILLY & COMPANY PATENT DIVISION P.O. BOX 6288 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| WILLIS, DOUGLAS M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1624 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 04/29/2009 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@lilly.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/599,129

Applicant(s)

DALLY ET AL.

Examiner

DOUGLAS M. WILLIS

Art Unit

1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DOUGLAS M. WILLIS.

(3) _____.

(2) ELIZABETH DINGESS-HAMMOND.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2, 8, 11 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments with regard to the ODP rejection in the Non-Final Rejection, mailed on January 29, 2009 and the need for a Terminal Disclaimer. Applicant also discussed formal matters, including amendments to the Specification and Abstract.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DOUGLAS M WILLIS/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

/J. O. W./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624